

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,825	Applicant(s) WALLACE ET AL.	
	Examiner JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) JEANINE A. GOLDBERG

(2) Robert Buyan

Date of Interview: 17 August 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner telephoned applicant requesting clarification regarding Claim 6 and the election of T4141G. The specification and the art are directed to a mutation at position T414G rather than T4141G. Applicant confirmed this was a typographical error and requested that the examiner change the claim to read T414G by amendment. In order to facilitate compact prosecution, the claims have been examine red in light of the election of T414G. Applicant agreed to amend the claims in response to the office action to T414G.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeanine A Goldberg/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

Status of Application: After Restriction

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)